

1 MARTA E. VILLACORTA (NY SBN 4918280)

2 Assistant United States Trustee

3 TREVOR R. FEHR (SBN 316699)

4 Trial Attorney

5 United States Department of Justice

6 Office of the U.S. Trustee

7 280 South First St., Suite 268

8 San Jose, CA 95113

9 Telephone: (408) 535-5525

10 Facsimile: (408) 535-5532

11 Email: Trevor.Fehr@usdoj.gov

12 Attorneys for TRACY HOPE DAVIS

13 United States Trustee for Region 17

14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE DIVISION**

In re:

Case No. 20-50182 MEH

Chapter 11

Pierce Contractors, Inc.,

Debtor.

Date: March 18, 2021

Time: 10:00 a.m.

Ctrm: Telephone/Teleconference

**UNITED STATES TRUSTEE'S OBJECTION AND RESERVATION OF RIGHTS WITH  
RESPECT TO THE DISCLOSURE ASPECT OF THE DEBTOR'S PROPOSED COMBINED  
PLAN OF REORGANIZATION AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT**

Tracy Hope Davis, the United States Trustee for Region 17 ("United States Trustee"), by and through her undersigned counsel, hereby files this objection ("Objection") to the Disclosure Statement to the *Combined Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization and Disclosure Statement Dated February 24, 2021* ("Combined Plan and Disclosure Statement"), ECF No. 73 filed by the above captioned Debtor, Pierce Contractors, Inc. ("Debtor"). In support, the United States Trustee states the following:

//

//

//

//

1 **I. INTRODUCTION.**

2 The United States Trustee objects to the approval of the disclosure statement to the proposed  
3 Combined Plan and Disclosure Statement because it does not meet the requirements of section 1125 of  
4 the Bankruptcy Code.<sup>1</sup> The Disclosure Statement should not be approved for dissemination to creditors  
5 until it is amended to provide adequate information, as defined under Section 1125. The Combined Plan  
6 and Disclosure Statement should explain how the plan will be effectuated, how the Debtor will make its  
7 Effective Date obligations, and include sufficient evidence regarding the Debtor's financial condition to  
8 confirm the proposed plan. The Debtor is delinquent in filing monthly operating reports. The budget  
9 provided in support of approval of the Combined Plan and Disclosure Statement does not include UST  
10 quarterly fees, in contravention of 1125 and 1129 of the Bankruptcy Code. For these reasons, the United  
11 States Trustee objects to approval of the Disclosure Statement to the Plan, and represents that the  
12 Disclosure Statement and Plan should not be approved for dissemination to creditors without amendment  
13 to address the deficiencies cited in this Objection. The United States Trustee reserves her rights to object  
14 to any amended Combined Plan and Disclosure Statement filed prior to the March 18 Hearing.

15 To the extent that the Debtor fails to address these deficiencies, the United States Trustee reserves  
16 her rights to object to confirmation of the plan, or to object to any subsequently-filed amended plan or  
17 disclosure statement filed prior to the hearing.

18 **II. JURISDICTION AND STANDING.**

19 Under 28 U.S.C. § 586(a)(3), the United States Trustee is charged with supervising the  
20 administration of cases and trustees "by, whenever the United States trustee considers it to be appropriate"  
21 taking certain action. See 28 U.S.C. §§ 586(a)(3)(A)-(I). This duty is part of the United States Trustee's  
22 responsibility to enforce the laws as written by Congress and interpreted by the courts. See *United States*  
23 *Trustee v. Columbia Gas Sys., Inc. (In re Columbia Gas Sys., Inc.)*, 33 F.3d 294, 295-96 (3d Cir. 1994).  
24 Under 11 U.S.C. § 307, the United States Trustee has standing to be heard and to object to the Combined  
25 Plan and Disclosure Statement addressed herein. 11 U.S.C. § 307.

26 //

27 \_\_\_\_\_  
28 <sup>1</sup> The Debtor filed the Combined Plan and Disclosure Statement on February 24, 2021, and a hearing is set for March 18,  
2021, at 10:00 A.M. ECF No. 75 (the "March 18 Hearing"). The objection deadline is seven (7) days prior to the disclosure  
statement hearing, or March 11, 2021. See B.L.R. 3017-1. This Objection is therefore timely filed.

1 **III. BACKGROUND**

2 **a. Summary of Facts**

3 On January 31, 2020, the Debtor filed a voluntary petition for relief under chapter 11 of the  
4 Bankruptcy Code. ECF No. 1. The Debtor is represented by The Mlnarik Law Group, Inc. *See* ECF No.  
5 35.

6 The Debtor is a plumbing and construction contracting business that filed after defaulting on loan  
7 payments for real property and vehicles that it owns. ECF No. 31.

8 No Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors has been appointed by the United States Trustee,  
9 and no Chapter 11 trustee has been appointed in this case. *See* Docket.

10 The Section 341 Meeting of Creditors was initially held on March 3, 2020 and continued to March  
11 17, 2020, continued again to April 17, 2020, continued again to May 22, 2020, continued again to June 5,  
12 2020 when it was concluded. *Id.*

13 The Debtor has failed to file Monthly Operating Reports (“MORs”) for March 2020, June 2020,  
14 July 2020, August 2020, September 2020, October 2020, November 2020, December 2020, and January  
15 2021. *See* Docket.

16 The Debtor’s most recent Operating Report for May 2020 states that the Debtor has had \$0 in  
17 total receipts since the case’s filing. ECF No. 52.

18 **b. The Debtor’s Scheduled Assets and Liabilities**

19 On February 28, 2020, the Debtor also filed its Statement of Financial Affairs and Schedules A  
20 through H. ECF Nos. 15 & 16.

21 The Debtor listed an ownership interest in one piece of real property located at 194 Lantz Drive in  
22 Morgan Hill, California (“Morgan Hill Property”) valued at \$2,000,000. ECF No. 15.

23 The Debtor’s Schedule D lists \$1,626,229.51 in secured claims on the Morgan Hill property. ECF  
24 No. 15. The Debtor’s Schedule E/F lists \$14,000 in unsecured claims. *Id.* One unsecured proof of claim  
25 has been filed for \$3,763.17 by ACAR Leasing LTD. *See* Claims Register, Claim No. 4-2.

26 //

27 //

28 //

1           **c. The Debtor's Combined Plan and Disclosure Statement**

2           On February 24, 2021, the Debtor filed its Combined Plan and Disclosure Statement. ECF No. 73  
3           On March 2, 2021, the Debtor filed a Notice of Hearing on the Disclosure Statement portion for March  
4           18, 2021.

5           Exhibit 1 states that the Debtor will fund the Combined Plan and Disclosure Statement through  
6           capital contributions from the Debtor's managing member, Richard Pierce. ECF No. 73, p. 13. Exhibit 1  
7           states that Mr. Pierce will be receiving a "large personal injury settlement prior to the Plan's Effective  
8           Date related to a plane crash that killed his wife, Stacey Pierce." *Id.* It also states that Mr. Pierce is not  
9           allowed to disclose the amount of the settlement. *Id.* The Debtor also attached a declaration from Mr.  
10          Pierce's attorney in the personal injury settlement, John K. Crowley, which states that the settlement will  
11          be sufficient to "pay the arrearage of \$156,083.43." ECF No. 74.

12          Part 3 of the Combined Plan and Disclosure Statement states that "[a]ll fees payable to the United  
13          States Trustee as of confirmation will be paid on the Effective Date; post-confirmation fees to the United  
14          States Trustee will be paid when due." ECF No. 73, p.5. Part 7 of the Combined Plan and Disclosure  
15          Statement also states that the Debtor "shall continue to pay quarterly fees to the United States Trustee to  
16          the extent, and in the amounts, required by 28 U.S.C. § 1930(a)(6)[.]" *Id.* at 10. However, Exhibit 3 of  
17          the Combined Plan and Disclosure Statement, the Debtor's Monthly Income and Expenses, does not  
18          include a line item for payment of these fees. *Id.* at 15.

19          Exhibit 3 also lists the Debtor's monthly income of \$25,000.000 coming exclusively from "Capital  
20          Contribution from Owner – Monthly beginning April 1, 2021." *Id.* at 15

21          Exhibit 4 of the Combined Plan and Disclosure Statement, the Debtor's Effective Date Feasibility  
22          calculation, states that the Debtor will make \$60,611.46 in Effective Date payments using \$75,000 of cash  
23          on hand. ECF No. 73, p. 16.

24          **IV. APPLICABLE LAW**

25          Section 1125 requires that, prior to the solicitation of votes on a plan of reorganization, each  
26          impaired claimant and interest holder must receive a disclosure statement that has been approved by the  
27          court as containing "adequate information." 11 U.S.C. §1125(b); *In re Kelley*, 199 B.R. 698, 703 (B.A.P.  
28          9th Cir. 1996). "Adequate information" is information that is in sufficient detail including "the nature and

1 history of the debtor and the condition of the debtor's books and records" along as to enable "a  
2 hypothetical reasonable investor" to make an informed judgment about the plan. *See* 11 U.S.C. § 1125(a);  
3 *see also In re Commercial Western Finance Corp.*, 761 F.2d 1329, 1331 n.1 (9th Cir. 1985).

4 To satisfy the requirements of adequate information under 11 U.S.C. § 1125, a disclosure statement  
5 "must contain the necessary financial information, data, and projections relevant to the creditor's decision  
6 to accept or reject the Chapter 11 plan." *In re Ferguson*, 474 B.R. 466, 476 (Bankr. D.S.C. 2012); *see*  
7 *also In re Beltrami Enters.*, 191 B.R. 303, 304 (Bankr. M.D. Pa. 1995); *In re Stanley Hotel, Inc.*, 13 B.R.  
8 926, 929 (Bankr. D. Colo. 1981). "The importance of full disclosure is clear since there is substantial  
9 reliance placed upon the Disclosure Statement by the creditors and the court. Given this reliance, the  
10 Debtor-in-Possession's obligation to provide sufficient data to satisfy the Code standard of 'adequate  
11 information'" is of substantial concern. *Kunica v. St. Jean Fin., Inc.*, 233 B.R. 46, 54 (S.D.N.Y. 1999)  
12 (citing *Oneida Motor Freight, Inc. v. United Jersey Bank*, 848 F.2d 414, 417 (3d Cir. 1988)).

13 Courts have recognized that "if it appears there is a defect that makes a plan inherently or patently  
14 unconfirmable, the Court may consider and resolve that issue at the disclosure stage before requiring the  
15 parties to proceed with solicitation of acceptances and rejections and a contested confirmation hearing."  
16 *In re American Capital Equipment LLC*, 688 F.3d 145, 156 (3rd Cir. 2012); *see also In re Main St. AC,*  
17 *Inc.*, 234 B.R. 771, 775 (Bankr. N.D. Cal. 1999) ("It is now well accepted that a court may disapprove of  
18 a disclosure statement ... if the plan could not possibly be confirmed."); *In re Silberkraus*, 253 B.R. 890,  
19 899 (Bankr. C.D. Cal. 2000).

## 20 **V. ANALYSIS**

21 For the reasons set forth below, this disclosure statement should not be approved in its current  
22 form because the Debtor has failed to satisfy its burden to show the Combined Plan and Disclosure  
23 Statement contains adequate information within the meaning of section 1125(a). The lack of disclosure  
24 regarding how the Debtor will meet its obligations under the Combined Plan and Disclosure Statement  
25 makes the Plan unfeasible and unconfirmable in its current form.

### 26 **a. The Combined Plan and Disclosure Statement Fails to Make Adequate Disclosures.**

27 The Debtor's Combined Plan and Disclosure Statement does not contain adequate information to  
28 meet the standards of Section 1125 or support the Debtor's plan payment calculations and appears patently

1 unfeasible. As stated above, Section 1125 requires sufficient information regarding debtor's plan for  
2 reorganization, the debtor's history, the debtor's ongoing financial condition, and other adequate  
3 information as determined by the facts of the case. *Ringel Valuation Servs. v. Shamrock Foods Co. (In re*  
4 *Ariz. Fast Foods, Ltd. Liab. Co.)*, 299 B.R. 589, 593 (Bankr. D. Ariz. 2003).

5 **i. The Debtor's Monthly Income and Expenses**

6 The Combined Plan and Disclosure Statement does not provide adequate information to support  
7 the Debtor's monthly income. The Disclosure Statement and Plan, along with the Debtor's other filings,  
8 should provide a clear picture of the Debtor's monthly income and expenses going forward so that parties  
9 in interest may make an informed judgment about the plan. *See In re Commercial Western Finance Corp.*,  
10 761 F.2d at 1331 n.1; *see also In re Ferguson*, 474 B.R. at 470. The Combined Plan and Disclosure  
11 Statement states that the Debtor will receive \$25,000 per month from its Mr. Pierce beginning on April 1,  
12 2021 and that although the settlement will be received before the Effective Date, the total amount cannot  
13 yet be disclosed. ECF No. 73. The Debtor provided a declaration which states that the settlement funds  
14 Mr. Pierce will receive will be enough to cover \$156,083.43 in arrearages, however the Debtor lists  
15 additional debts that require payment including \$60,611.46 in Effective Date payments that do not go  
16 toward the Debtor's arrearages. ECF No. 74.

17 The Debtor has also failed to provide adequate financial information through timely MORs. *See*  
18 *Docket*. Specifically, the Debtor has failed to file MORs for March 2020, June 2020, July 2020, August  
19 2020, September 2020, October 2020, November 2020, December 2020, and January 2021. *Id.* Besides  
20 this promise of monthly contributions which have not begun, the Debtor has reported any receipts over  
21 the course of this bankruptcy case. ECF No. 52. In order to meet the requirements of section 1125(a), the  
22 Debtor should provide adequate disclosure to demonstrate that the amount the Debtor will be receiving  
23 will be sufficient to fund the entirety of the plan. *See In re Ferguson*, 474 B.R. at 470.

24 **ii. The Debtor's Ability to Consummate the Plan on the Effective Date**

25 The Combined Plan and Disclosure Statement does not contain sufficient evidence of financial  
26 wherewithal to consummate the plan on the Effective Date and it should be amended to show how the  
27 Debtor will meet its proposed Effective Date obligations. If a plan does not provide adequate information  
28 to demonstrate that the Debtor will be able to make its Effective Date obligations, parties in interest will

1 not be able to determine whether the plan is viable. *See Kunica v. St. Jean Fin., Inc.*, 233 B.R. at 54; *see*  
2 *also In re Reliable Med. Equip.*, 2010 Bankr. LEXIS 32, at \*11 (Bankr. D. P.R. 2010). In this case, Exhibit  
3 4 of the Combined Plan and Disclosure Statement states that on the Effective Date, the Debtor will make  
4 \$60,611.46 in payments using \$75,000 of cash on hand. ECF No. 73, p. 16. However, the Debtor's most  
5 recent MOR states that the Debtor has no cash on hand. ECF No. 52. Before the disclosure statement is  
6 approved, the Debtor should disclose how it will have sufficient cash and income to cover these Effective  
7 Date obligations in addition to the ongoing plan payments.

### 8 **iii. The Debtor's MORs**

9 The Debtor is delinquent with respect to its statutory duty to timely file monthly operating  
10 reports as of the date of this Objection. *See* B.L.R. 2015-2. Consequently, the Debtor has failed to  
11 provide creditors with adequate information in the proposed disclosure statement in the form of MORs.  
12 In light of the Bankruptcy Code requirements to file MORs and the Debtor's lack of historical income,  
13 these reports are vital for creditors to have knowledge of the debtor's financial condition and make an  
14 informed decision on whether to vote in favor of the Debtor's plan. *In re Ariz. Fast Foods, Ltd. Liab.*  
15 *Co.*, 299 B.R. at 593. The Debtor has failed to file MORs for March 2020, June 2020, July 2020,  
16 August 2020, September 2020, October 2020, November 2020, December 2020, and January 2021. *See*  
17 Docket. The Debtor's most recent MOR for May 2020 states the Debtor has had no income over the  
18 course of the bankruptcy case thus far. ECF No. 52. Based on the Debtor's failure to provide adequate  
19 information in its Combined Plan and Disclosure Statement and in its bankruptcy case at large, approval  
20 of the Disclosure Statement should be denied until adequate information is provided. *In re De Edwards*,  
21 2009 Bankr. LEXIS 2492, at \*2 (Bankr. N.D. Cal. 2009; *see also In re Forest Grove, LLC*, 448 B.R.  
22 729, 737-38 (Bankr. D.S.C. 2011) ("creditors should not be required to go on a treasure hunt throughout  
23 multiple filings in order to ascertain [adequate] information").

### 24 **b. The Combined Plan and Disclosure Statement Fails to Provide for Payment of fees to the United States Trustee**

25 Part 7 of the Combined Plan and Disclosure Statement states that the Debtor "shall continue to pay  
26 quarterly fees to the United States Trustee to the extent, and in the amounts, required by 28 U.S.C. §  
27 1930(a)(6)[.]" ECF No. 73. However, the Debtor has failed to budget for the payment of quarterly fees  
28 into its Exhibit 3 outline of the Debtor's monthly expenses. *Id.* at 15. United States Trustee quarterly fees



1 are due throughout the case, and therefore should be accounted for in the Debtor's budgeting. *See In re*  
2 *Barbetta, LLC*, 2014 Bankr. LEXIS 3121, at \*12 (Bankr. E.D.N.C. 2014) (quarterly fees are due until a  
3 Chapter 11 case is closed). Before the Combined Plan and Disclosure Statement is approved for  
4 dissemination to creditors, the Debtor should amend to properly provide for payment of any quarterly fees  
5 throughout the remainder of the case and post-confirmation. The Debtor is obligated to provide adequate  
6 information to demonstrate that the Combined Plan and Disclosure Statement is feasible and in  
7 compliance with 11 U.S.C. §§ 1125 and 1129 by accounting for all potential payments required on the  
8 Effective Date and going forward. *In re Hogue*, 2011 Bankr. LEXIS 1120, at \*13 (Bankr. D. Mont. 2011).  
9 Therefore, the Debtor's Disclosure Statement should not be approved until the aforementioned issues have  
10 been addressed.

11  
12 **VI. CONCLUSION**

13 For the foregoing reasons, the Disclosure Statement should not be approved until the Debtor file  
14 its missing MORs and an amendment that clarifies and provides additional information as described  
15 above. *See* 11 U.S.C. § 1125. The United States Trustee reserves her right to object to any amendment(s)  
16 to the Combined Plan and Disclosure statement for failure to meet the requirements of 11 U.S.C. § 1125  
17 and the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure. The United States Trustee also reserves her right to object  
18 to confirmation of the Plan for failure to meet the requirements of 11 U.S.C. § 1129 and the Federal Rules  
19 of Bankruptcy Procedure, and to take any other appropriate action.

20  
21 Dated: March 10, 2021

TRACY HOPE DAVIS  
United States Trustee for Region 17

22  
23 /s/ Trevor R. Fehr  
Trevor R. Fehr  
24 Trial Attorney